## School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

| School Name | County-District-School (CDS) Code | Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date | Local Board Approval Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E. M. GRIMMER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | 01-61176-6000590 | April 26, 2022 | June 29, 2022 |

## Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Schoolwide Program

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs. E. M. GRIMMER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL works in collaboration with the Instructional Services Division to ensure that all Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requirements are met. The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) plans are aligned to the District's Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) to ensure alignment. State and federal funds that E. M. GRIMMER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL receives all supplement the general educational program to provide above and beyond resources and services to improve student outcomes.
Grimmer Elementary School works in collaboration with the Instructional Services Division to ensure that all Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requirements are met. The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) plans are aligned to the District's Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) to ensure alignment. State and federal funds that Grimmer Elementary School receives all supplement the general educational program to provide above and beyond resources and services to improve student outcomes.

## School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment
Enrollment By Student Group

| Student Enrollment by Subgroup |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Percent of Enrollment |  |  | Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 19-20 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 19-20 | 20-21 | 21-22 |
| American Indian | 0.61\% | 0.2\% | 0.26\% | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| African American | 1.84\% | 1.4\% | 3.65\% | 9 | 6 | 14 |
| Asian | 26.43\% | 32.1\% | 28.65\% | 129 | 137 | 110 |
| Filipino | 6.56\% | 7.0\% | 5.21\% | 32 | 30 | 20 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 50.2\% | 48.7\% | 51.30\% | 245 | 208 | 197 |
| Pacific Islander | 0\% | \% | 0.26\% | 0 |  | 1 |
| White | 10.04\% | 6.3\% | 6.25\% | 49 | 27 | 24 |
| Multiple/No Response | 3.28\% | 2.1\% | 2.60\% | 16 | 9 | 10 |
|  | Total Enrollment |  |  | 488 | 427 | 384 |

## Student Enrollment

Enrollment By Grade Level

| Grade |  | Student Enrollment by Grade Level |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{y y}$ | Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 70 | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ |  |
| Kindergarten | 78 | 71 | 47 |  |
| Grade 1 | 61 | 63 | 59 |  |
| Grade 2 | 85 | 77 | 61 |  |
| Grade 3 | 71 | 60 | 66 |  |
| Grade 4 | 48 | 76 | 57 |  |
| Grade 5 | 75 | 68 | 79 |  |
| Grade 6 |  | 12 | 15 |  |
| Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Enrollment |  |  |  |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Total number of students enrolled decreased by approximately $12 \%$ between $2019-20$ and 2020-21. One factor being the transition of outgoing fifth graders to the middle school in 2019-20. 2021-22 saw a more significant decrease in enrollment.
2. There was a significant decrease in white students from 2019-20 to 2020-21, while Asian and Hispanic students continue to be the largest student groups.

## School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment
English Learner (EL) Enrollment

| English Learner (EL) Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Number of Students |  |  | Percent of Students |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 |
| English Learners | 146 | 123 | 87 | 30.4\% | 25.2\% | 20.4\% |
| Fluent English Proficient (FEP) | 111 | 122 | 89 | 23.1\% | 25.0\% | 20.8\% |
| Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) | 56 | 32 | 14 | 28.3\% | 21.9\% | 11.4\% |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. With the changing population of Grimmer between 2019-20 and 2020-21, there has been a decrease in the number of English Learners. Proportionately, the number of FEP students remained about the same.
2. Between 2019-20 and 2020-21 the percentage of students reclassified to English Proficient decreased substantially. The students were not provided in-person instruction through the 2020-21 school year because of the pandemic.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 3 | 61 | 61 | 51 | 55 | 61 | 0 | 55 | 61 | 0 | 90.2 | 100 | 0.0 |
| Grade 4 | 70 | 50 | 66 | 66 | 50 | 0 | 66 | 50 | 0 | 94.3 | 100 | 0.0 |
| Grade 5 | 46 | 62 | 61 | 46 | 62 | 0 | 46 | 62 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0.0 |
| Grade 6 | 52 | 45 | 12 | 52 | 44 | 0 | 52 | 44 | 0 | 100 | 97.8 | 0.0 |
| All Grades | 229 | 218 | 190 | 219 | 217 | 0 | 219 | 217 | 0 | 95.6 | 99.5 | 0.0 |

The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly |  |  | \% Standard Not |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 3 | 2445. | 2438. |  | 34.55 | 34.43 |  | 27.27 | 18.03 |  | 14.55 | 22.95 |  | 23.64 | 24.59 |  |
| Grade 4 | 2499. | 2527. |  | 39.39 | 44.00 |  | 28.79 | 32.00 |  | 15.15 | 18.00 |  | 16.67 | 6.00 |  |
| Grade 5 | 2505. | 2552. |  | 17.39 | 41.94 |  | 36.96 | 29.03 |  | 17.39 | 19.35 |  | 28.26 | 9.68 |  |
| Grade 6 | 2560. | 2566. |  | 26.92 | 25.00 |  | 28.85 | 40.91 |  | 38.46 | 29.55 |  | 5.77 | 4.55 |  |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 30.59 | 36.87 |  | 30.14 | 29.03 |  | 21.00 | 22.12 |  | 18.26 | 11.98 |  |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Reading <br> Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 3 | 37.04 | 32.79 |  | 44.44 | 44.26 |  | 18.52 | 22.95 |  |
| Grade 4 | 34.85 | 42.00 |  | 46.97 | 54.00 |  | 18.18 | 4.00 |  |
| Grade 5 | 21.74 | 41.94 |  | 58.70 | 46.77 |  | 19.57 | 11.29 |  |
| Grade 6 | 26.92 | 22.73 |  | 42.31 | 56.82 |  | 30.77 | 20.45 |  |
| All Grades | 30.73 | 35.48 |  | 47.71 | 49.77 |  | 21.56 | 14.75 |  |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 3 | 31.48 | 32.79 |  | 38.89 | 37.70 |  | 29.63 | 29.51 |  |
| Grade 4 | 36.36 | 40.00 |  | 51.52 | 54.00 |  | 12.12 | 6.00 |  |
| Grade 5 | 30.43 | 41.94 |  | 41.30 | 46.77 |  | 28.26 | 11.29 |  |
| Grade 6 | 26.92 | 34.09 |  | 67.31 | 59.09 |  | 5.77 | 6.82 |  |
| All Grades | 31.65 | 37.33 |  | 50.00 | 48.39 |  | 18.35 | 14.29 |  |

## 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order $\mathrm{N}-30-20$ was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| ListeningDemonstrating effective communication skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 3 | 25.93 | 21.31 |  | 59.26 | 59.02 |  | 14.81 | 19.67 |  |
| Grade 4 | 24.24 | 32.00 |  | 60.61 | 60.00 |  | 15.15 | 8.00 |  |
| Grade 5 | 17.39 | 27.42 |  | 58.70 | 61.29 |  | 23.91 | 11.29 |  |
| Grade 6 | 19.23 | 22.73 |  | 73.08 | 70.45 |  | 7.69 | 6.82 |  |
| All Grades | 22.02 | 25.81 |  | 62.84 | 62.21 |  | 15.14 | 11.98 |  |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order $\mathrm{N}-30-20$ was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 3 | 37.04 | 31.15 |  | 40.74 | 40.98 |  | 22.22 | 27.87 |  |
| Grade 4 | 31.82 | 38.00 |  | 53.03 | 60.00 |  | 15.15 | 2.00 |  |
| Grade 5 | 32.61 | 45.16 |  | 43.48 | 41.94 |  | 23.91 | 12.90 |  |
| Grade 6 | 40.38 | 38.64 |  | 51.92 | 52.27 |  | 7.69 | 9.09 |  |
| All Grades | 35.32 | 38.25 |  | 47.71 | 47.93 |  | 16.97 | 13.82 |  |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Participation rates for Grimmer were near $100 \%$ for 2018 -19. Because of Covid, there was no standardized testing in 2019-20.
2. There was an increase in overall achievement for All Students in English language arts (ELA) for the 2018-19 school year. The percentage of students at or above standard in ELA increased $4.71 \%$. Because of Covid, there was no standardized testing in 2019-20.
3. While there was an increase in proficiency of approximately $7 \%$ for reading and $3 \%$ for writing, we feel this should continue to be the area of focus for the coming year as there are still approximately $15 \%$ below standard in reading and nearly $16 \%$ below standard in writing. Because of Covid, there was no standardized testing in 2019-20.

## School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results
Mathematics (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 3 | 61 | 61 | 51 | 60 | 61 | 0 | 60 | 61 | 0 | 98.4 | 100 | 0.0 |
| Grade 4 | 69 | 50 | 66 | 68 | 50 | 0 | 68 | 50 | 0 | 98.6 | 100 | 0.0 |
| Grade 5 | 46 | 62 | 61 | 46 | 62 | 0 | 46 | 62 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0.0 |
| Grade 6 | 52 | 45 | 12 | 52 | 44 | 0 | 52 | 44 | 0 | 100 | 97.8 | 0.0 |
| All Grades | 228 | 218 | 190 | 226 | 217 | 0 | 226 | 217 | 0 | 99.1 | 99.5 | 0.0 |

* The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.


## 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly |  |  | \% Standard Not |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 3 | 2462. | 2460. |  | 33.33 | 39.34 |  | 25.00 | 21.31 |  | 21.67 | 14.75 |  | 20.00 | 24.59 |  |
| Grade 4 | 2500. | 2533. |  | 22.06 | 40.00 |  | 39.71 | 32.00 |  | 27.94 | 26.00 |  | 10.29 | 2.00 |  |
| Grade 5 | 2555. | 2572. |  | 32.61 | 51.61 |  | 32.61 | 20.97 |  | 28.26 | 12.90 |  | 6.52 | 14.52 |  |
| Grade 6 | 2549. | 2573. |  | 25.00 | 22.73 |  | 28.85 | 40.91 |  | 28.85 | 25.00 |  | 17.31 | 11.36 |  |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 27.88 | 39.63 |  | 31.86 | 27.65 |  | 26.55 | 18.89 |  | 13.72 | 13.82 |  |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Concepts \& Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 3 | 40.00 | 49.18 |  | 33.33 | 19.67 |  | 26.67 | 31.15 |  |
| Grade 4 | 42.65 | 64.00 |  | 36.76 | 18.00 |  | 20.59 | 18.00 |  |
| Grade 5 | 39.13 | 59.68 |  | 36.96 | 24.19 |  | 23.91 | 16.13 |  |
| Grade 6 | 34.62 | 50.00 |  | 40.38 | 34.09 |  | 25.00 | 15.91 |  |
| All Grades | 39.38 | 55.76 |  | 36.73 | 23.50 |  | 23.89 | 20.74 |  |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis <br> Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 3 | 36.67 | 37.70 |  | 38.33 | 40.98 |  | 25.00 | 21.31 |  |
| Grade 4 | 26.47 | 38.00 |  | 55.88 | 56.00 |  | 17.65 | 6.00 |  |
| Grade 5 | 41.30 | 43.55 |  | 54.35 | 45.16 |  | 4.35 | 11.29 |  |
| Grade 6 | 25.00 | 18.18 |  | 50.00 | 63.64 |  | 25.00 | 18.18 |  |
| All Grades | 31.86 | 35.48 |  | 49.56 | 50.23 |  | 18.58 | 14.29 |  |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order $\mathrm{N}-30-20$ was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Communicating Reasoning <br> Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| Grade 3 | 41.67 | 49.18 |  | 43.33 | 32.79 |  | 15.00 | 18.03 |  |
| Grade 4 | 36.76 | 44.00 |  | 50.00 | 44.00 |  | 13.24 | 12.00 |  |
| Grade 5 | 36.96 | 40.32 |  | 52.17 | 45.16 |  | 10.87 | 14.52 |  |
| Grade 6 | 21.15 | 25.00 |  | 51.92 | 65.91 |  | 26.92 | 9.09 |  |
| All Grades | 34.51 | 40.55 |  | 49.12 | 45.62 |  | 16.37 | 13.82 |  |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. There was an increase of $7.54 \%$ in overall achievement for All Students in the area of math. Because of Covid, there was no standardized testing in 2019-20.
2. A focus on mathematical concepts and procedures was moderately successful. The data shows that students near standard to above standard increased by $3.14 \%$. However, there continues to be a need to focus on the nearly $21 \%$ who are below standard. Because of Covid, there was no standardized testing in 2019-20.

## School and Student Performance Data

## ELPAC Results

| ELPAC Summative Assessment Data <br> Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Overall |  |  | Oral Language |  |  | Written Language |  |  | Number of Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| K | 1413.3 | 1399.8 | 1432.4 | 1417.2 | 1406.4 | 1434.4 | 1403.6 | 1384.2 | 1427.8 | 45 | 23 | 21 |
| 1 | 1472.9 | 1429.8 | 1434.7 | 1491.3 | 1456.5 | 1439.5 | 1454.0 | 1402.7 | 1429.5 | 44 | 19 | 15 |
| 2 | 1468.1 | 1450.2 | 1438.6 | 1494.6 | 1466.6 | 1443.6 | 1441.4 | 1433.1 | 1433.1 | 28 | 28 | 19 |
| 3 | 1482.0 | 1469.4 | 1414.9 | 1484.4 | 1473.3 | 1417.8 | 1479.1 | 1465.0 | 1411.4 | 29 | 16 | 14 |
| 4 | 1538.7 | 1544.9 | 1416.3 | 1551.0 | 1559.6 | 1411.0 | 1525.8 | 1529.9 | 1421.3 | 20 | 12 | 16 |
| 5 | 1531.2 | 1504.8 | 1493.2 | 1527.4 | 1507.4 | 1491.3 | 1534.6 | 1501.6 | 1494.8 | 17 | 14 | 19 |
| 6 | 1551.7 | * | * | 1541.8 | * | * | 1561.3 | * | * | 20 | * | * |
| All Grades |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 203 | 114 | 107 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Overall Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Level 4 |  |  | Level 3 |  |  | Level 2 |  |  | Level 1 |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| K | 55.56 | 13.04 | 28.57 | * | 60.87 | 23.81 | * | 0.00 | 19.05 | * | 26.09 | 28.57 | 45 | 23 | 21 |
| 1 | 52.27 | 26.32 | 13.33 | 29.55 | 21.05 | 33.33 | * | 26.32 | 33.33 | * | 26.32 | 20.00 | 44 | 19 | 15 |
| 2 | 57.14 | 7.14 | 15.79 | * | 57.14 | 31.58 |  | 21.43 | 26.32 | * | 14.29 | 26.32 | 28 | 28 | 19 |
| 3 | * | 0.00 | 0.00 | * | 62.50 | 42.86 | * | 18.75 | 35.71 | * | 18.75 | 21.43 | 29 | 16 | 14 |
| 4 | * | 25.00 | 12.50 | * | 66.67 | 37.50 |  | 8.33 | 12.50 | * | 0.00 | 37.50 | 20 | 12 | 16 |
| 5 | * | 28.57 | 21.05 | * | 21.43 | 31.58 |  | 42.86 | 31.58 | * | 7.14 | 15.79 | 17 | 14 | 19 |
| 6 | 60.00 | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | 20 | * | * |
| All Grades | 50.25 | 15.79 | 16.82 | 27.09 | 48.25 | 32.71 | 9.36 | 18.42 | 26.17 | 13.30 | 17.54 | 24.30 | 203 | 114 | 107 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order $\mathrm{N}-30-20$ was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Level 4 |  |  | Level 3 |  |  | Level 2 |  |  | Level 1 |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| K | 46.67 | 17.39 | 23.81 | * | 47.83 | 28.57 | * | 13.04 | 14.29 | * | 21.74 | 33.33 | 45 | 23 | 21 |
| 1 | 70.45 | 47.37 | 20.00 | * | 10.53 | 40.00 | * | 21.05 | 26.67 | * | 21.05 | 13.33 | 44 | 19 | 15 |
| 2 | 78.57 | 35.71 | 26.32 | * | 39.29 | 21.05 |  | 10.71 | 31.58 | * | 14.29 | 21.05 | 28 | 28 | 19 |
| 3 | 44.83 | 37.50 | 21.43 | * | 31.25 | 42.86 | * | 18.75 | 14.29 | * | 12.50 | 21.43 | 29 | 16 | 14 |
| 4 | 65.00 | 83.33 | 18.75 | * | 16.67 | 37.50 | * | 0.00 | 12.50 | * | 0.00 | 31.25 | 20 | 12 | 16 |
| 5 | 88.24 | 42.86 | 31.58 | * | 35.71 | 47.37 |  | 14.29 | 10.53 | * | 7.14 | 10.53 | 17 | 14 | 19 |
| 6 | 70.00 | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | 20 | * | * |
| All Grades | 63.55 | 40.35 | 24.30 | 16.26 | 31.58 | 36.45 | 6.40 | 13.16 | 17.76 | 13.79 | 14.91 | 21.50 | 203 | 114 | 107 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Written Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Level 4 |  |  | Level 3 |  |  | Level 2 |  |  | Level 1 |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| K | 40.00 | 13.04 | 28.57 | * | 39.13 | 9.52 | 37.78 | 21.74 | 38.10 | * | 26.09 | 23.81 | 45 | 23 | 21 |
| 1 | 29.55 | 5.26 | 13.33 | 36.36 | 0.00 | 33.33 | * | 63.16 | 20.00 | 29.55 | 31.58 | 33.33 | 44 | 19 | 15 |
| 2 | * | 0.00 | 10.53 | * | 35.71 | 31.58 | * | 35.71 | 21.05 | * | 28.57 | 36.84 | 28 | 28 | 19 |
| 3 | * | 0.00 | 0.00 | * | 25.00 | 28.57 | * | 50.00 | 28.57 | * | 25.00 | 42.86 | 29 | 16 | 14 |
| 4 | * | 8.33 | 12.50 | * | 50.00 | 18.75 | * | 41.67 | 18.75 | * | 0.00 | 50.00 | 20 | 12 | 16 |
| 5 | * | 0.00 | 5.26 | * | 21.43 | 31.58 | * | 64.29 | 42.11 | * | 14.29 | 21.05 | 17 | 14 | 19 |
| 6 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 20 | * | * |
| All Grades | 29.56 | 4.39 | 12.15 | 28.08 | 28.95 | 26.17 | 22.17 | 42.98 | 28.04 | 20.20 | 23.68 | 33.64 | 203 | 114 | 107 |

## 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| K | 68.89 | 26.09 | 33.33 | * | 52.17 | 52.38 | * | 21.74 | 14.29 | 45 | 23 | 21 |
| 1 | 72.73 | 52.63 | 46.67 | * | 26.32 | 46.67 | * | 21.05 | 6.67 | 44 | 19 | 15 |
| 2 | 67.86 | 25.00 | 26.32 | * | 60.71 | 57.89 | * | 14.29 | 15.79 | 28 | 28 | 19 |
| 3 | 37.93 | 0.00 | 42.86 | 48.28 | 68.75 | 35.71 | * | 31.25 | 21.43 | 29 | 16 | 14 |
| 4 | * | 33.33 | 18.75 | * | 66.67 | 50.00 | * | 0.00 | 31.25 | 20 | 12 | 16 |
| 5 | * | 7.14 | 15.79 | * | 85.71 | 63.16 | * | 7.14 | 21.05 | 17 | 14 | 19 |
| 6 | * | * | * | 60.00 | * | * | * | * | * | 20 | * | * |
| All Grades | 58.13 | 25.44 | 29.91 | 30.05 | 57.02 | 52.34 | 11.82 | 17.54 | 17.76 | 203 | 114 | 107 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Speaking Domain <br> Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| K | 35.56 | 26.09 | 19.05 | 35.56 | 43.48 | 42.86 | 28.89 | 30.43 | 38.10 | 45 | 23 | 21 |
| 1 | 68.18 | 42.11 | 0.00 | * | 21.05 | 73.33 | * | 36.84 | 26.67 | 44 | 19 | 15 |
| 2 | 78.57 | 35.71 | 21.05 | * | 50.00 | 52.63 | * | 14.29 | 26.32 | 28 | 28 | 19 |
| 3 | 51.72 | 62.50 | 14.29 | * | 25.00 | 64.29 | * | 12.50 | 21.43 | 29 | 16 | 14 |
| 4 | 85.00 | 91.67 | 31.25 | * | 8.33 | 43.75 | * | 0.00 | 25.00 | 20 | 12 | 16 |
| 5 | 88.24 | 50.00 | 57.89 | * | 35.71 | 31.58 | * | 14.29 | 10.53 | 17 | 14 | 19 |
| 6 | 90.00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 20 | * | * |
| All Grades | 65.52 | 46.49 | 27.10 | 18.72 | 33.33 | 48.60 | 15.76 | 20.18 | 24.30 | 203 | 114 | 107 |

## 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order $\mathrm{N}-30-20$ was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| K | 37.78 | 4.35 | 19.05 | 48.89 | 73.91 | 57.14 | * | 21.74 | 23.81 | 45 | 23 | 21 |
| 1 | 50.00 | 5.26 | 33.33 | * | 63.16 | 33.33 | 27.27 | 31.58 | 33.33 | 44 | 19 | 15 |
| 2 | * | 0.00 | 0.00 | * | 71.43 | 68.42 | * | 28.57 | 31.58 | 28 | 28 | 19 |
| 3 | * | 0.00 | 0.00 | 55.17 | 56.25 | 35.71 | 37.93 | 43.75 | 64.29 | 29 | 16 | 14 |
| 4 | * | 8.33 | 6.25 | 60.00 | 83.33 | 43.75 | * | 8.33 | 50.00 | 20 | 12 | 16 |
| 5 | * | 7.14 | 21.05 | 70.59 | 85.71 | 52.63 | * | 7.14 | 26.32 | 17 | 14 | 19 |
| 6 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 20 | * | * |
| All Grades | 33.50 | 3.51 | 14.02 | 43.35 | 71.05 | 49.53 | 23.15 | 25.44 | 36.45 | 203 | 114 | 107 |

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

| Writing Domain <br> Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 20-21 |
| K | 37.78 | 43.48 | 38.10 | 40.00 | 26.09 | 47.62 | * | 30.43 | 14.29 | 45 | 23 | 21 |
| 1 | 27.27 | 5.26 | 13.33 | 52.27 | 68.42 | 46.67 | * | 26.32 | 40.00 | 44 | 19 | 15 |
| 2 | * | 3.57 | 21.05 | 67.86 | 64.29 | 47.37 | * | 32.14 | 31.58 | 28 | 28 | 19 |
| 3 | * | 12.50 | 14.29 | 58.62 | 81.25 | 50.00 | * | 6.25 | 35.71 | 29 | 16 | 14 |
| 4 | * | 0.00 | 6.25 | * | 100.00 | 62.50 | * | 0.00 | 31.25 | 20 | 12 | 16 |
| 5 | * | 7.14 | 10.53 | * | 78.57 | 63.16 | * | 14.29 | 26.32 | 17 | 14 | 19 |
| 6 | * | * | * | 70.00 | * | * | * | * | * | 20 | * | * |
| All Grades | 30.05 | 13.16 | 17.76 | 52.71 | 64.91 | 54.21 | 17.24 | 21.93 | 28.04 | 203 | 114 | 107 |

## 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. By comparison, 2020-21 scores in overall summative assessment performance show an average decline in mean scaled scores of approximately $3 \%-4 \%$ across all grade levels with few exceptions.
2. While the percentage of students performing at a Level 4 for overall language increased from 2018-19 to 2020-21 by approximately $6 \%$, the number of students performing at a Level 1 or 2 increased by approximately the same percentage.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Population

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

| 2020-21 Student Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enroliment | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | English Learners | Foster Youth |
| 427 | 39.8 | 20.4 | This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court. |
| This is the total number of students enrolled. | This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma. | This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses. |  |


| 2019-20 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| English Learners | 87 | 20.4 |
| Foster Youth |  |  |
| Homeless | 2 | 0.5 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 170 | 39.8 |
| Students with Disabilities | 68 | 15.9 |


| Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| African American | 6 | 1.4 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 1 | 0.2 |
| Asian | 137 | 32.1 |
| Filipino | 30 | 7.0 |
| Hispanic | 208 | 48.7 |
| Two or More Races | 9 | 2.1 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander |  | 6.3 |
| White | 27 |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The number of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students (SED), English Learners (EL), and Homeless students continue to decrease year-to-year. However, we continue to have 39.8\% SED and 20.4\% EL. Our Homeless population has decreased from $1.9 \%$ to $.5 \%$. Our percentage of students with disabilities has increased to $15.9 \%$.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Overall Performance

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

2019 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

| Academic Performance | Academic Engagement | Conditions \& Climate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language Arts | Chronic Absenteeism | Orange |
| Blue |  |  |
| Mathematics |  |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Chronic absenteeism continued to be a great concern during distance learning and through the 2021-2022 school year.
2. Although we don't have 2020-2021 dashboard data from which to make comparisons, after a review of site and district data, we feel that learning loss in English Language Development, English Language Arts, and Mathematics was substantial as a result of distance learning.
3. A conclusion can be made that continued targeted intervention efforts are necessary to increase knowledge of basic skills at each grade level.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Language Arts

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
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This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report


This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group


| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| Yellow |
| 7.1 points below standard |
| Increased |
| Significantly |
| $\perp+1 \_$nninto |
| 54 |



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62.1 points below standard | 39.3 points above standard | 43.4 points above standard |
| Declined - 10.4 points 39 | Declined -7.4 points 62 | Increased Significantly $\begin{aligned} & \text { L+?n } 1 \text { mainta } \\ & 106 \end{aligned}$ |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. While we do not have a dashboard comparison between 2018-19 and 2019-20, when reviewing site and district assessment data for 2019-20, the number of students performing one to two grade levels below grade level standards in English language arts is approximately $51 \%$.
2. Our Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students, English Learners, and Students with Disabilities make up the majority of this $51 \%$.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> Mathematics

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
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This section provides number of student groups in each color.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group

$\square$


| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| Green |
| 19 points below standard |
| Increased <br> Significantly <br> $1+17$ aninto <br> 54 |


| African American |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| Less than 11 Students - Data |
| Not Displayed for Privacy |
| 3 |
|  |


| American Indian |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| Less than 11 Students - Data |
| Not Displayed for Privacy |
| 1 |
|  |




No Performance Color
48.1 points above standard Increased ++3.1 points

20

| White |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 27.8 points above standard |
| Maintained ++1.8 points |
| 18 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29.1 points below standard | 28.7 points above standard | 31 points above standard |
| Increased ++9.9 points | Increased ++3.6 points | Increased ++9.1 points |
| 39 | 62 | 106 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. While we do not have a dashboard comparison between 2018-19 and 2019-20, when reviewing site and district assessment data for 2019-20, the number of students performing one to two grade levels below grade level standards in math is approximately $55 \%$.
2. Our Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students, English Learners, and Students with Disabilities make up the majority of this $55 \%$.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Learner Progress

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator

| English Learner Progress |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 57.3 making progress towards English |
| language proficiency |
| Number of EL Students: 82 |
| Performance Level: High |

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

| Decreased <br> One ELPI Level |
| :---: |
| 19.5 |

Maintained ELPI Level 1, $\mathbf{2 L}, \mathbf{2 H}, 3 \mathrm{~L}$, or 3 H 23.1

| Maintained <br> ELPI Level 4 |
| :---: |
| 4.8 |


| Progressed At Least <br> One ELPI Level |
| :---: |
| 52.4 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. While we do not have dashboard comparisons between 2018-19 and 2019-20. We did see a slight overall decrease in English Language proficiency rates on our ELPAC assessments for 2019-20.
2. We can conclude that assessing in a virtual environment may not have been as effective as in person assessments. However, it is evident that our English Learners continue to require targeted support for increased proficiency.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement <br> Chronic Absenteeism

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
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This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group

| All Students |
| :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Orange }}{}$ |
| 7.4 |
| Increased +0.5 |
| 514 |


| English Learners |
| :---: |
| Orange |
| 7.1 |
| Increased +1.2 |
| 155 |



| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| $\frac{1}{\text { Yellow }}$ |
| 10.3 |
| Declined -0.6 |
| 97 |

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Performance Color | No Performance Color | Orange | Orange |
| 18.2 | Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy | 5.6 | 5.3 |
| 11 |  | Increased +4.2 | Increased +0.5 |
|  |  | 143 | 38 |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
|  | No Performance Color | No Performance Color |  |
| 6.6 | 13.3 | Less than 11 Students - Data | 11.1 |
| Declined -1.5 | Increased +0.8 | $5$ | Increased +4.9 |
| 256 | 15 |  | 45 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. While we do not have comparison data between 2018-19 and 2019-20, we have seen a significant increase in Chronic Absenteeism. We can conclude that a large percentage of this increase is due to the affects of Covid.
2. Based on site data, chronic absenteeism continues to be an area of concern, and will require additional interventions in the coming year.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Conditions \& Climate <br> Suspension Rate

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest
Performance

Yellow

Green
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This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group


No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not
1


| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Orange }}{}$ |
| 2 |
| Increased +1.1 |
| 99 |


| African American |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 7.7 |
| 13 |
|  |



| Hispanic |
| :---: |
| Blue |
| 0.4 |

Declined -0.3
263


| White |
| :---: |
| Yellow |
| 2.1 |
| Maintained +0.2 |
| 47 |

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year

| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 0.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Due to distance learning there were no suspensions in the 2020-21 school year.
2. Positive Behavior Interventions have been implemented bringing suspensions down significantly in the 2021-22 school year.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 1: Provide an educational environment that is conducive to learning.

## Goal 1

By June 2023, all students will participate in formal Social-Emotional Lessons and organized leadership opportunities to address social and emotional needs as a means of decreasing behavior incidents and chronic absenteeism. Attendance will improve a minimum of $5 \%$ as measured by absenteeism data in the student information system (SIS). Behavior incidents will decrease by $5 \%$ as measured by behavior referrals through our PBIS program.

## Identified Need

A review of attendance records, behavior referrals, and academic performance shows a clear link between academic performance and the percentage of time spent in school, as well as the behavior incidents of our students.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Absenteeism Reports | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Monthly Behavior Referrals to 11 7 <br> Admin.   l |  |  |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1 <br> Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students with a focus on English Learners, Students with Disabilities, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students

Strategy/Activity
Improve student involvement (ownership) of school initiatives through:
*Grimmer Bear Ambassadors to include a focus on anti-bullying, conflict resolution, inclusion, etc.
*Digital Citizenship Presentations in our computer lab.
*Social / character education lessons within classrooms, small social groups, and individual counseling - delivered by our school counselor
*Positive Behavior Intervention Support (PBIS) program. Complete rollout - year 3. Full staff participation.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Amount(s)

## Source(s)

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

## (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students with a focus on English Learners, Students with Disabilities, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students

Strategy/Activity
When intervention is necessary, students will be referred to:
*Grimmer COST team (Certificated staff will be paid hourly rate for attending meetings before or after school.)
*SST Team (Certificated staff will be paid hourly rate for attending meetings before or after school.)
*Elementary school counselor
*SARP Team (Certificated staff will be paid hourly rate for attending meetings before or after school.)

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
5,850

## Strategy/Activity 3

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All Students with a focus on English Learners, Students with Disabilities, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students

## Strategy/Activity

Hire a full time special education para-educator to provide behavior support within general education classrooms for our general education students with special needs. Will also be trained in PBIS \& Restorative Practices.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
Source(s)

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
All students were able to participate in whole group and/or small group social-emotional lessons (SEL) presented by our school counselor with proposed strategies implemented by classroom teachers.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
There was an increase in academic levels for some students who participated in SEL lessons and activities. However, absenteeism increased because of Covid-related health concerns. It was found that due to the transition from distance learning to in-person learning, focus was diverted from student involvement in organized leadership opportunities to safety protocols.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
After reviewing data for this goal, we believe all students can benefit from continued SocialEmotional Lessons as well as leadership opportunities giving them more ownership of their learning. A review of i-Ready academic data suggests a clear link between academic performance and social-emotional needs, especially for our English Learners, Students with Disabilities, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students. It was also noted that there is an increase of Students with Disabilities within our general education classrooms bringing a need for behavior supports.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 2: Increase the academic achievement of all students through challenging and engaging instruction.

## Goal 2

By June 2023, reduce the number of at-promise Tier 3 students on the i-Ready ELA diagnostic by 50\%.

By June 2023, $80 \%$ of all students in grades $1-5$ will achieve and/or exceed their Annual Typical Growth goals on the i-Ready ELA diagnostic, and 60\% of all students will reach their Annual Stretch Growth goal on the i-Ready ELA diagnostic.

By June 2023, proficiency on the ESGI ELA assessments for kindergarten will increase by 3\%.

## Identified Need

Although the number of at-promise Tier 3 students decreased by approximately $45 \%$, overall we continue to have approximately $44 \%$ of students one or more grade levels below average. The majority of students did show growth. However, stretch growth goals were not met, especially for those students who were already at grade level.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator |
| :--- |
| Number of Tier 3 students on |
| the i-Ready Reading/ELA |
| diagnostics |
| Students meeting typical |
| growth goals on i-Ready |
| Reading/ELA diagnostics |
| Students meeting stretch |
| growth goals on i-Ready |
| Reading/ELA diagnostics |

Baseline/Actual Outcome
$13 \%$ of students at-promise (Tier 3)
$60 \%$ of students meeting typical growth goals
$52 \%$ of students meeting stretch growth goals

## Expected Outcome

$16 \%$ of students at-promise (Tier 3)
$100 \%$ of students meeting typical growth
$70 \%$ of students meeting stretch growth goals

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All Students with a focus on English Learners, Students with Disabilities, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students

Strategy/Activity
Professional Development: three half-day PLC Team release days for each classroom teacher to receive support from district coaches to plan MTSS differentiated lessons utilizing district adopted, grade appropriate materials as well as district instructional guides to teach the California English language arts standards with districtwide priority standards in mind. Focus on: What we want students to learn.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
3,608

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All Students with a focus on English Learners, Students with Disabilities, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students

## Strategy/Activity

Purchase engaging fiction and nonfiction literature to support classroom instruction.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
2,940
Source(s)
LCAP G2A5: Library Money

## Strategy/Activity 3

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

 (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)All Students with a focus on English Learners, Students with Disabilities, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students

Strategy/Activity
1.0 FTE instructional para-educator to provide intervention support with reading, language arts, and math.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
Source(s)

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
After a review of the data, $44 \%$ of students are one or more grade levels below standard. We were unable to implement release days for teacher collaboration because of shortage of certificated substitute teachers.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
School Site Council voted to move funds to provide instructional materials to support teacher efficacy.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
As this was the first year using i-Ready diagnostics districtwide, we have made slight changes to our proficiency expectations. We anticipate being able to provide release days to our staff in the coming year.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 2: Increase the academic achievement of all students through challenging and engaging instruction.

## Goal 3

By June 2023, reduce the number of at-promise Tier 3 students on the i-Ready math diagnostic assessment by $50 \%$.

By June 2023, $80 \%$ of all students in grades 1-5 will achieve and/or exceed their Annual Typical Growth goals on the i-Ready math diagnostic, and $60 \%$ of all students will reach their Annual Stretch Growth goal on the i-Ready math diagnostic.

By June 2023, proficiency on the ESGI math assessments for kindergarten will increase by $3 \%$.

## Identified Need

Although the number of at-promise Tier 3 students decreased by approximately $50 \%$, overall we continue to have approximately $62 \%$ of students one or more grade levels below average. The majority of students did show growth. However, stretch growth goals were not met, especially for those students who were already at grade level.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator |
| :--- |
| Number of Tier 3 students on <br> the i-Ready math diagnostics |
| Students meeting typical <br> growth goals on i-Ready math <br> diagnostics |
| Students meeting Stretch goals <br> on i-Ready math diagnostics |

Baseline/Actual Outcome
18\% at-promise Tier 3 students
$67 \%$ of students meeting typical growth goals
$37 \%$ of students meeting stretch growth goals

## Expected Outcome

$35 \%$ at-promise Tier 3 students
$100 \%$ of students meeting typical growth goals
$70 \%$ of students meeting stretch growth goals

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All Students with a focus on English Learners, Students with Disabilities, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students

Strategy/Activity
Site-purchased, supplemental publisher software programs such as ST Math.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
6,330

Source(s)
LCAP G3A6: High Needs Elementaries (Grimmer, Brier, Blacow, Cabrillo)

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All Students with a focus on English Learners, Students with Disabilities, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students

Strategy/Activity
Professional Development: three half-day PLC Team release days for each classroom teacher to receive support from district coaches to plan MTSS differentiated lessons utilizing district adopted, grade appropriate materials as well as district instructional guides to teach the California Math standards with districtwide priority standards in mind. Focus on: What we want students to learn. Budget incorporated into goal \#2

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
Source(s)

## Strategy/Activity 3

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All Students with a focus on English Learners, Students with Disabilities, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students

## Strategy/Activity

1.0 FTE instructional para educator to provide intervention support with math. Budget incorporated into goal \#2.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
After a review of the data, while the goal was nearly met, we still have $62 \%$ of students one or more grade levels below standard in math. Teacher release days for collaboration were not able to be held because of the shortage of teacher substitutes.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
School Site Council voted to move funds to provide instructional materials to support teacher efficacy.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
As this was the first year using i-Ready diagnostics districtwide, we have made slight changes to our proficiency expectations. We anticipate being able to provide release days to our staff in the coming year.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 4: Establish partnerships with our families and community to increase academic success for all students.

## Goal 4

By June 2023, there will be a minimum of $50 \%$ increase in attendance/participation at school meetings and family events as measured by attendance records.

## Identified Need

It is clear after a review of individual student data, that parent involvement in the education of our students has a measurable affect on academic performance. It was challenging with the return to inperson instruction to engage families in community meetings.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator
Attendance at parent, committee meetings

Baseline/Actual Outcome
Two to three parents at SSC/ELAC meetings, PTA meetings, family meetings (various topics to inform SEL needs of students)

## Expected Outcome

10-20 attendees at each meeting

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

 (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)All Students with an emphasis on Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, EL students, and Students with Disabilities.

Strategy/Activity
School counselor and principal will hold meaningful family meetings working on various topics pertinent to the success of our students. Provide opportunities to inform parents of student academic needs, and to solicit parent input for increased support of these needs. No impact on the budget.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
Source(s)

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All Students with an emphasis on Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, EL students, and Students with Disabilities.

## Strategy/Activity

Hold parent/teacher/student academic chats at the beginning of each trimester, with the option to attend meetings via Zoom to encourage goal setting, participation, and to support families' needs.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Amount(s)

1,350

Source(s)
LCAP G3A6: High Needs Elementaries (Grimmer, Brier, Blacow, Cabrillo)

## Strategy/Activity 3

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All Students with an emphasis on Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, EL students, and Students with Disabilities.

## Strategy/Activity

Utilize Student Study Team meetings as a vehicle for communicating academic concerns with families. Budget incorporated into Goal \#1.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
With outreach via virtual and paper Thursday folders (fliers, newsletters, etc.) and multiple Bright Arrow announcements for each event, attendance was extremely low for all community events.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
Due to the emotional impact of the pandemic and the financial toll it played on the lives of families, attendance was lower than expected at school sponsored events. Even with an increased effort to reach out to parents via personal call or contact, attendance remained low at all meetings.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
After reviewing the data, the school will look for additional means of communicating and involve students in the meeting agendas.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 3: Implement strategies to involve students in their learning and interventions to eliminate barriers to success.

## Goal 5

By June 2023, all English Learners will improve one level as measured by the ELPAC assessment.

## Identified Need

Only 1\% of our EL students improved one level from 2019-20 to 2020-21. Five EL students were redesignated as English proficient. Instruction and ELPAC testing were provided virtually, which may account for the lower than expected performance.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| English Learners improving <br> one level on ELPAC testing | $1 \%$ of students improved one <br> level | $100 \%$ of students improved <br> one level |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## English Learners

Strategy/Activity
Professional Development: three half-day PLC Team release days for each classroom teacher to receive support from district coaches to plan MTSS differentiated lessons utilizing district adopted, grade appropriate materials as well as district instructional guides to teach the California standards and ELD standards with districtwide priority standards in mind. Focus on: What we want students to learn.
*Budget included in goal \#2.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
English Learners
Strategy/Activity
Direct and differentiated classroom instruction, GLAD supports, designated and integrated ELD lessons, PLC Team MTSS/ELD rotations, intervention services. Budget included in goal \#2.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
Source(s)

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
While we were unable to hold release days for teachers to collaborate because of the substitute teacher shortage, teachers at each grade level collaborated during weekly PLC meetings to provide English Language Development lessons to our English learners.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
Funds were allocated by SSC to instructional materials as a result of the lack of substitute teachers to have release days.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
Instruction to students will be provided in person. Teachers will be provided release days to assist in planning ELD lessons and to receive support from our ELD coach.

## Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

## Budget Summary

## Description

Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA

## Amount

\$
\$
\$146,804.00

## Allocations by Funding Source

| Funding Source | Amount | Balance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| LCAP G2A5: Library Money | $\$ 2,940$ | 0.00 |
| LCAP G3A2: Intervention Funds | $\$ 12,348$ | 0.00 |
| LCAP G3A6: High Needs Elementaries (Grimmer, Brier, $\$ 131,516$ <br> Blacow, Cabrillo)  <br> Low Performing Student Block Grant  |  |  |

## Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

## Federal Programs

## Allocation (\$)

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$
List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

| State or Local Programs |
| :--- |
| LCAP G2A5: Library Money |
| LCAP G3A2: Intervention Funds |
| LCAP G3A6: High Needs Elementaries (Grimmer, Brier, Blacow, Cabrillo) |


| Allocation (\$) |
| :--- |
| $\$ 2,940.00$ |
| $\$ 12,348.00$ |
| $\$ 131,516.00$ |

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$146,804.00

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: $\$ 146,804.00$

## School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

## 1 School Principal

2 Classroom Teachers
1 Other School Staff
4 Parent or Community Members

| Name of Members | Role |
| :--- | :--- |
| Judy Nye | Principal |
| Laura Garcia | Other School Staff |
| Liliana Leon-Franco | Classroom Teacher |
| Elizabeth Katz | Classroom Teacher |
| Michael Smith | Parent or Community Member |
| Hugo Contreras | Parent or Community Member |
| Lisa Silva | Parent or Community Member |
| Juana Barajas | Parent or Community Member |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools, the number of parent and/or community members and pupil members selected shall equal the number of school members selected.

## Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:
Signature
Committee or Advisory Group Name
English Learner Advisory Committee
The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on April 26, 2022.
Attested:
Principal, Judy Nye on 4/26/2022
SSC Chairperson, Laura Garcia on 4/26/2022

## Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:
Signature


The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on April 26, 2022.
Attested:


